

Sri K. H. SRINIVAS (Jagar).—Sir, I beg to move :

“ That this Assembly agrees with the Eighth Report of the Committee on Private Members’ Bills and Resolutions.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“ That this Assembly agrees with the Eighth Report of the Committee on Private Members’ Bills and Resolutions ”.

*The motion was adopted.*

## CITY OF BANGALORE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (Amendment) BILL, 1969

*(Introduction)*

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Sir, I have an objection for the introduction of this Bill. Under Rule 67 of the Rules of procedure the Governor’s Assent will be required for a money bill. Clause 10 seeks to impose certain tax on property. Therefore as per article 199, it amounts to be a money Bill. Article 207 of the Constitution stipulates that a money bill shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the Governor and a Bill making such a provision shall not be introduced in the Legislative Assembly. As per article 207 read with article 199 and rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure, since His Excellency the Governor has not recommended or since he has not given any sanction for the introduction of this Bill, and since it is a money bill, it cannot be introduced in this House.

My second objection is that this Bill is not appended with any memorandum as contemplated under rule 69 as it involves proposals for delegated legislation. The Bill in question, in clause 7 proposes that section 60 may be substituted. There is a proviso which has been added :

clause 7 : proviso :

“ Provided that the Government may by notification published in the Official gazette and for reasons to be stated in the said notification extend from time to time the term of office of councillors by such period or periods as it deems fit so however the total period so extended shall not exceed one year ”.

In this proviso, power is sought to be delegated to the Governments in order to extend its life for a period of one year. Therefore it amounts, to delegation of certain power that is vested in this august House. So this Bill also requires a memorandum regarding delegation of power to the Government.

(SRI M. NAGAPPA)

Since these two formalities have not been complied with by the Government or the Minister concerned, this Bill may not be permitted to be introduced at this stage because it is against the provisions of the Constitution and the rules of procedure.

Sri B. M. PATIL (Minister of State for Municipal Administration).—Sir, for the introduction of this particular Bill, all the requisite formalities have been already complied with and they are completed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The contention of the hon. Member Sri Nagappa is that this is a money bill within the meaning of Article 199 of the Constitution and that even for the introduction of the Bill, the Governor's recommendation is needed. On examination of the Bill in question, I find that along with taxation proposals there are other provisions also. The article of the Constitution stipulates that a Bill shall be deemed to be a Money Bill if it contains only taxation proposals. Therefore the first objection raised by the Hon. Member has no force and cannot be upheld.

Secondly, so far as the memorandum about delegated legislation is concerned it is not a delegation of formal power. Government is authorised to publish a particular thing by issue of a notification. It is not exactly a rule-making power that is being delegated. Therefore, I hold that the memorandum regarding delegated legislation is not necessary here.

I therefore, rule out both the objections and call upon the Hon. Minister to proceed with the introduction of the Bill.

Sri B. M. PATIL.—Sir, I beg to introduce the City of Bangalore Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1969 under Rule 64 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY AND ADDITIONAL DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR THE YEAR 1968-69 (2nd instalment)**

### **Demand No. 4 Taxes on Vehicles**

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance).—Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor of Mysore, I beg to move:

“That a further sum not exceeding Rs. 100 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the financial year for the period ending 31st day of March 1969, in respect of ‘Taxes on Vehicles’.”